


Haines Borough
Borough Assembly/School Board Meeting
March 30, 2005
MINUTES

Approved

A special joint session was conducted to consider the interview results of the three finalist architectural firms and to award the contract. No other business was discussed.

1. CALL TO ORDER/PLEDGE TO FLAG: 8:15 p.m.

2. ROLL CALL

Assembly Members Present: Mayor Mike **CASE** and Assembly Members Stephanie **SCOTT**, Herb **VANCLEVE**, Debra **SCHNABEL**, Jerry **LAPP** and Norm **SMITH**. **Absent:** Scott **ROSSMAN**.

School Board Members Present: Carol **KELLY**/Chair, Sarah **SWINTON**, Brian **CLAY**, Gary **STIGEN**, Judy **EREKSON**, and Heather **LENDE**.

Absent: Stacie **TURNER**.

Staff Present: Julie **COZZI**/Borough Clerk, Robert **VENABLES**/Borough Manager, Dave **GROSS**/Asst. Water-Sewer Plant Operator, Woody **WILSON**/School District Superintendent, Charlie **JONES**/Principal, and Sandra **JUNE-DEGEN**/teacher.

Visitors Present: Bonnie **HEDRICK**/CVN, Carl **JOHN**/SERRC, Fred **GRAY**, and others.

3. **SCHOOL ARCHITECT INTERVIEW DISCUSSION AND DECISION**

Mayor **CASE** opened the session to public comment. **GRAY**, **GROSS** and **JUNE-DEGEN** took the opportunity to ask questions and state their opinions.

KELLY said the architect competition is very stiff, therefore we have been warned to be very objective in the selection. The suggestion has been made to go with the highest-scoring firm. If there is a problem with the highest-scoring firm, the problem and the reasons to go with a lower-scoring firm would need to be very clearly delineated. **SCHNABEL** said there was supposed to be a place on the score sheet for giving a subjective rating. The Planning and Oversight Committee, in developing the score sheets, had intended that the "presentation" score would be that place. Unfortunately, that does not seem to have happened, and those scores were apparently just for the actual architect presentation (speech and materials).

M/S **SCHNABEL**/**SWINTON** Motion to get the score sheets back for the purpose of rescoring the presentation box to provide an opportunity to record the "gut level" or intuition score.

STIGEN said he would prefer a 1-2-3 place on the end of the score sheets as a separate item rather than rescoring "presentation."

M/S **SCHNABEL/SCOTT** Motion to amend to add a separate tally on the score sheets in order to rate each architect subjectively according to a 1-2-3 preference.

LENDE said she would still like to know how the questions are weighted and which ones are worth more than others. **KELLY** said she would prefer that the interview scores and a 1-2-3 preference rating two separate things. **SCHNABEL** said that could be done on a separate paper---not added to the other score sheets, but handled as a separate tally. **SCOTT** agreed with the change to the motion and called for the question. There was no objection.

The amendment motion carried unanimously.

The main motion to redistribute the score sheets failed unanimously.

M/S **SCHNABEL/LAPP** Motion to have a separate tally to rate the three firms according to a 1-2-3 preference with 3 as the best.

The motion carried unanimously.

Both bodies took a few minutes to individually rate the three firms according to subjective preference on pieces of paper and handed them in to the Clerk who tallied them.

<u>Firm</u>	<u>Interview Scores</u>	<u>Preference Rating</u>
Bettisworth + Northwind	9,760	37
Jenson Yorba Lott	9,437	22
Minch Ritter Voelckers	8,745	16

CASE said the selection is very clearly Bettisworth.

M/S **LAPP/SWINTON** Motion to give a "Notice of Award" to Bettisworth + Northwind and to authorize the Project Coordinator to negotiate the contract.

The motion carried unanimously.

4. ADJOURNMENT:

M/S **SCOTT/SWINTON** Motion to adjourn.

The motion carried unanimously, and the meeting was adjourned at 8:15 p.m.

ATTEST:

Mike Case, Borough Mayor

Julie Cozzi, Borough Clerk