STATE OF ALASKA

THE ALASKA PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

Before Commissioners:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

Don Schröer, Chairman Susan M. Knowles Daniel Patrick O'Tierney Mark A. Foster James E. Carter, Sr.

In the Matter of the Joint Application by FRANK E. and ARLA L. SHULL d/b/a HAINES SANITATION and by HAINES SANITATION, INC., To Transfer Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity No. 203 From FRANK E. and ARLA L. SHULL d/b/a HAINES SANITATION to HAINES SANITATION, INC.

U-91-24

ORDER NO. 4

ORDER GRANTING CONDITIONAL EXEMPTION FROM ECONOMIC REGULATION AND CLOSING DOCKET

BY THE COMMISSION:

Introduction

By Order U-91-24(1), issued September 13, 1991, the Commission approved the transfer of Certificate Convenience and Necessity (Certificate) No. 203 from SANITATION (HS) to HAINES SANITATION, INC. (HSI), and required certain filings. By Order U-91-24(3), issued August 21, 1992, the Commission found HSI to be subject to economic regulation and required HSI to file its complete tariff.

On September 29, 1992, HSI filed a letter enclosing a breakout of its revenue sources and a copy of its current rates, including some service rules. According to the letter, the City

U-91-24(4) - (3/24/93)Page 1 of 5

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

of Haines (City), pursuant to a charter, determines the rates charged by HSI; HSI was concerned that regulation by the City and the Commission would be burdensome and prohibitively expensive. Although not styled as such, HSI subsequently notified Staff that the letter was in fact a request for exemption from economic regulation under AS 42.05.711(d).

On January 13, 1993, the City filed a letter with the Commission stating that it has an agreement with HSI for the provision of solid waste collection. The City stated that it had sold the landfill to the utility and has had oversight of its rates for many years. Finally, the City recommended granting HSI a waiver from regulation or, in the alternative, being apprised of any rate increases allowed by the Commission.

On February 5, 1993, the Commission Staff (Staff) submitted its analysis and recommendation (Report) regarding HSI's request. That Report is attached to this Order as an Appendix.

Staff's Report sets out in detail the history of the proceeding and Staff's findings and recommendations regarding HSI's request for exemption from economic regulation. Among other things, Staff concluded that HSI's request for exemption from economic regulation under AS 42.05.711(d) should be approved, subject to certain conditions. Those conditions are that no significant justified customer complaints regarding the utility are filed with the Commission and that the exemption be revoked if the City ceases oversight of HSI's rates.

Discussion

Based on its review of the record in this proceeding, the Commission concurs that HSI should be exempted from economic regulation, subject to Staff's proposed conditions and the following additional qualifications:

- (1) HSI must agree to charge the same rates to customers within and outside the city limits of Haines; and
- (2) HSI must submit a copy, executed in its own name, of the contract for refuse service with the City.

 This exemption is similar to other municipal/garbage regulation approaches previously approved by the Commission wherein a municipality has been certificated to provide refuse service but allowed to contract for that service from private carriers, with Commission waiver of certification for those carriers. 1

Should circumstances arise which indicate that the exemption granted in this Order is contrary to the best interests of HSI or its customers, proceedings will be instituted by the Commission to reexamine this decision. Finally, HSI is reminded

¹See, e.g., Re City of Petersburg, 6 APUC 417 (1984) (Order U-83-94(2)); Re Kodiak Island Borough, 10 APUC 1 (1989) (Order U-88-29(4)/U-88-58(4)/U-89-21(1)). Cf. Re Municipality of Anchorage, Order U-90-19(6)/U-90-20(5)/U-90-21(5), issued September 5, 1991, and Re City of Fairbanks, Order U-92-4(7)/U-91-71(3), issued November 4, 1992, for discussion of broad public interest standard in considering other exemptions for political subdivisions under AS 42.05.711(d).

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

that the requirements of AS 42.05.221 -- 42.05.281 are applicable to all matters involving the utility's certificate.

The Commission also concurs with Staff that the tariff filing required by Order U-91-24(3) should be vacated. tariff requirement will be reinstated if HSI's conditional exemption from economic regulation is revoked for any reason. There are no other outstanding substantive or procedural issues to be disposed of in this proceeding, and there are no allocable Therefore, Docket U-91-24 should be closed.

ORDER

THE COMMISSION FURTHER ORDERS:

- As more fully discussed herein, Haines Sanitation, Inc., is exempted from economic regulation by the Commission, subject to the following conditions:
 - (a) the Commission does not receive justified customer complaints regarding the rates and charges of Haines Sanitation, Inc., the quality of service furnished by or through the utility's facilities, or the management practices employed by the utility;
 - the exemption is revoked if the City of Haines ceases its oversight of the rates of Haines Sanitation, Inc.;
 - Haines Sanitation, Inc., must charge the same rates to customers both inside and outside the city limits of Haines; and

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

BY DIRECTION OF THE COMMISSION (Commissioners Susan M. Knowles and Daniel Patrick O'Tierney, not participating.)



U-91-24(4) - (3/24/93)Page 5 of 5

STATE OF ALASKA The Alaska Public Utilities Commission

1016 West Sixth Avenue, Suite 400 Anchorage, Alaska 99501

MEMORANDUM

TO: Commissioners:

DATE: February 8, 1993

Don Schröer, Chairman

Susan M. Knowles

Daniel Patrick O'Tierney

Mark A. Foster

James E. Carter, Sr.

FROM: Dawn Bishop-Kleweno

Utility Engineering Analyst II

RE: U-91-24, Haines Sanitation, Inc. - Request for Exemption from Economic Regulation

RECOMMENDATION

The Commission Staff (Staff) recommends:

- 1. The Commission should exempt Haines Sanitation, Inc. (HSI), from economic regulation under AS 42.05.711(d), subject to the following conditions and limitations:
 - a) the Commission must not receive justified customer complaints regarding the quality of service furnished by or through HSI's facilities, or due to management practices employed by HSI; and
 - b) the exemption is revoked if and when the City of Haines ceases oversight of HSI's rates.
- 2. HSI should be put on notice that the Commission may, in its discretion, subsequently revoke or discontinue this or any other exemption granted pursuant to AS 42.05.711(d), as a matter of policy.
- 3. In granting this conditional exemption, HSI should be put on notice that it is still obligated to meet the certification requirements of AS 42.05.221 42.05.281.
- 4. Ordering Paragraph No. 2 of U-91-24(3), which requires that HSI file a tariff, should be vacated.
- Docket U-91-24 should be closed.

BACKGROUND

In U-91-24(1), issued September 13, 1991, the Commission approved the transfer of Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity (Certificate) No. 203 from Haines Sanitation (HS) to Haines Sanitation, Inc. (HSI), and required certain filings. In U-91-24(3), issued August 21, 1992, the Commission found HSI to be subject to economic regulation and required HSI to file its complete tariff.

On September 29, 1992, HSI filed a letter enclosing a breakout of its revenue sources and a copy of its current rates, including some service rules. According to the letter, the City of Haines, pursuant to a charter, determines the rates charged by HSI; HSI was concerned that regulation by the City and the Commission would be burdensome and prohibitively expensive.

On January 13, 1993, the City of Haines filed a letter stating that it has an agreement with HSI for the provision of solid waste collection. The City further states that it had sold the landfill to the utility and has had oversight of HSI rates for many years. Finally, the City recommended granting HSI a waiver from regulation or, in the alternative, being apprised of any rate increases allowed by the Commission.

DISCUSSION

Although not styled as such, HSI's letter should be considered a request for exemption from economic regulation by the Commission.

AS 42.05.711(d) provides:

"[t]he Commission, on a finding that no legitimate public interest will be served, may exempt a utility from all or any portion of this Chapter. . . ."

HSI was previously exempt from regulation because its revenues did not exceed \$200,000 (AS 42.05.711(i)); however, its revenues are now above that threshold (see U-91-24(3)).

Staff notes that the focus of economic regulation is to ensure that a utility's rates and charges, management practices, and quality of service are reasonable. According to a representative of the City of Haines, at the time the landfill was purchased from the City, HSI and the City entered into an agreement wherein HSI's rates are reviewed and approved or denied by the City on an

ORDER U-91-24(4)

APPENDIX

PAGE 2 of 5

annual basis. Staff notes that HSI's current residential rate is \$16.29 per month for 2 cans, one pickup per week. This monthly rate is comparable with other refuse utility rates as shown in the Commission's Fiscal Year 1992 Annual Report. Economic regulation by the Commission would lead to increased financial recordkeeping requirements which would likely adversely affect HSI's rates.

The Commission's 1990, 1991, and 1992 complaint files do not contain any complaints regarding HSI, and no concerns were raised by the public during the notice period of the transfer.

Since certification requirements changed for municipalities in 1980, many of the cities in Alaska have obtained a certificate of public convenience and necessity and contracted with non-certificated entities for the provision of refuse service. In those instances, the city is responsible for ensuring the provision of safe, adequate, and reliable service by its contractors at reasonable rates. Under AS 42.05.711(b), these cities are exempt from economic regulation by the Commission.

HSI has been certificated to provide refuse service outside the city limits since 1973 and within the city limits of Haines since 1980. During that time, it has not been subject to economic regulation by the Commission since its gross annual revenues were less than \$200,000. However, the rates under which it provides service have been established pursuant to an agreement with the City, which has reviewed the utility's financial statements to establish the reasonableness of the rates.

Since regulatory oversight of HSI's rates is provided by the City of Haines through a long-standing (and apparently mutually satisfactory) agreement with the utility, regulatory review by this Commission, which prevails over review by the City (AS 42.05.6411), would be duplicative and add unnecessary regulatory

¹AS 42.05.641 provides that:

[&]quot;[t]he commission's jurisdiction and authority extend to public utilities operating within a municipality.... In the event of a conflict between a certificate, order, decision, or regulation of the commission and a charter, permit, franchise, ordinance, rule, or regulation of such a local governmental entity, the certificate, order, decision, or regulation of the commission shall prevail."

expense to the utility's operations. Moreover, it appears that HSI's quality of service has been satisfactory. Accordingly, based on the above analysis, Staff believes that no legitimate public interest would be served at this time by requiring HSI to be economically regulated. Therefore, HSI should be granted an exemption from regulation under AS 42.05.711(d), subject to conditions.

Inasmuch as a major factor in Staff's recommendation is the City's oversight of HSI's rates, Staff believes that it is appropriate to condition the exemption on the City's continued oversight. If and when the City ceases oversight of HSI's rates, the exemption from economic regulation should be revoked.

Additionally, the Commission should reserve the right, upon complaint or its own motion, to resume economic regulation of HSI if a significant number of customer complaints about the quality of service or management practices are received by the Commission and subsequently found to be justified.

Finally, in approving this exemption, HSI should be put on notice that the Commission may, in its discretion, subsequently revoke or discontinue this or any other exemption granted pursuant to AS 42.05.711(d), as a matter of policy or for good cause shown.

In granting this conditional exemption from economic regulation, HSI should be put on notice that it is still obligated to meet the certification requirements of AS 42.05.221 - 42.05.281.

Staff notes that the rate schedules and limited service rules filed by HSI in conjunction with its exemption request would not meet the Commission's tariff requirements for economically regulated utilities under 3 AAC 48.200--.430, and contain rates for non-regulated portions of HSI's business. Staff recommends that the tariff filing required in Ordering Paragraph No. 2 of U-91-24(3) be vacated since that requirement is not appropriate for a utility not subject to economic regulation by the Commission.² As a final procedural matter, Docket U-91-24 should be closed.

²Staff notes that this filing requirement should be reinstated if and when HSI's conditional exemption from economic regulation is revoked for any reason.

CONCLUSION

For the reasons discussed above, HSI should be granted a waiver from economic regulation under AS 42.05.711(d), subject to conditions. In conjunction with the waiver, the tariff filing requirement delineated in Ordering Paragraph No. 2 of U-92-24(3) should be vacated and Docket U-91-24 should be closed.